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Abstract

Intelligent Transportation System (ITS) is one of the most important urban systems that its functionality affects other urban systems directly and indirectly. In developing societies, increasing the transportation system efficiency is an important concern, because variety of problems such as heavy traffic condition, rise of the accident rate and the reduced performance happen with the rise of population. Route finding and navigation are two effective tools to reduce the pressure on the transportation system. Better navigation methods can reduce the traffic concentration in specific areas. In most of the cases, transportation networks are changing through time and they don’t have a static status. On the other hand, different users consider different objectives when they want to move through the transportation network. So, this paper proposed a novel method to solve dynamic navigation and route finding problem while considering different objectives. This new method is based on multi-objective Ant Colony Optimization (ACO). Experiments are designed in a simulated network and results are compared with static navigation in single-objective and multi-objective mode. Results indicated that the proposed method is performing very accurate in finding the optimal paths. Also the proposed method for dynamic navigation is performing better than the static navigation. It has improved the trip duration of the 80% of the altered routes and decreased the trip duration of some experiments up to 50%. These results indicate that the proposed method has the ability of solving multi-objective dynamic navigation in urban transportation systems in the presence of high rate traffic information.
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1. Introduction

Route finding problem and navigation are important issues in transportation systems and researchers are still trying to find better solutions in solving them under different circumstances. Different categories are presented for these problem. In one of these categories, route finding has been divided into two main classes, single-objective and multi-objective [Chakhar and Martel, 2003]. Generally, decision making in real world depends on different objectives. For example, in real world route finding problems, the shortest path or the quickest path are not always sufficient [Pahlavani et al. 2006]. Multi-objective route finding is a Non-deterministic Polynomial-time hard (NP-hard) problems [Mooney and Winstanley, 2006]. Reaching the exact solution in a reasonable period of time is not possible and an approximation of the solution have to be accepted. Besides, in route finding problems, computation complexity rises with the size of network and more time should be spent. This dependency is the reason of many researches in this area [Pahlavani, et al., 2012]. Ant Colony Optimization (ACO) is one of the meta heuristic algorithms that can be used to solve multi-objective route finding [Masoomi et al. 2011]. This algorithm was firstly proposed in 1992, by Marco Dorigo to solve optimization problems [Dorigo, 1992]. In ACO the process of searching for food source by ants is simulated to find the best solution in the solution space [Masoomi et al. 2011].

Route finding problem can be categorized into three classes due to the available information (Figure 1), Non-Adaptive routing rule (NAR), Open-loop Adaptive Routing rule (OAR) and Closed-loop Adaptive Routing rule (CAR). Algorithm works with prior information of the network in NAR. This information can be static values of travel time or the historical information during a specified period of time. Classic algorithms like Dijkstra [Dijkstra, 1959] can be used for this class. In OAR class, only real time information is available and in CAR both are available. In OAR and CAR, the initial suggested path should be changed while updating the traffic information [Fu, 2001]. Users are faced with a transportation network in which the values are changing through time due to real world situation. For example, travel time in a particular street changes due to the traffic condition in that particular street [Zakaria and et al. 2015].

![Figure 1. Categorization of route finding problem based on available information [Fu, 2001]](image_url)
Many algorithms have been proposed for route finding and navigation. These algorithms can be categorized into three classes from another point of view, certain, heuristic and meta heuristic algorithms. Certain algorithms find the exact solution by processing all the network without using additional information to guide the search direction or to omit some of the areas (e.g. Dijkstra). Heuristic algorithms use additional information, like Euclidean distance, to decrease the computation complexity and therefore the computation time (e.g. A*) [Abolhoseini and Sadeghi-Niaraki, 2016]. Meta heuristic algorithms are inspired from natural events in order to solve the problems. For example, Genetic Algorithm is based on the mechanics of natural selection and genetics to search through decision space for optimal solution [Boroujerdian, et al. 2015]. The process of evolution is simulated through chromosomes, genes, crossover and mutation. Some researchers investigated real time algorithms. For example, in 2001 a solution to navigate vehicles in a transportation network is proposed in which the travel time in each street is modeled and predicted as a random variable [Fu, 2001]. So, user can be informed about the travel time of a street before entering it and as a result better navigation through the network is possible. This paper used travel time as the only objective for analysis. In 2006, an optimal routing technique is proposed for stochastic time-dependent networks [GAO and CHABINI, 2006]. In stochastic time-dependent networks, travel time can be modeled as a random variable with a time-dependent distribution. In this method user was navigated from the origin to the destination. User’s path may change through each time decision making due to the updated traffic information. In 2010, Ding et al explained that the traffic information can be obtained through two main methods, infrastructure dependent and infrastructure independent methods [Ding et al. 2010]. Then an infrastructure independent method is proposed to develop a real time navigation algorithm namely Vehicle-to-Vehicle Real-time Routing (V2R2). This algorithm consisted of two main parts. First part finds the best route and the second part computes detours to pass streets with no available information. In 2006, taxis GPS sensors were observed and an artificial neural network was trained to find the best approximate route [Zhang et al. 2006]. After that Nadi and Delavar proposed a model to navigate users using real time traffic information in 2010 [Nadi and Delavar, 2010]. Traffic information was assumed to be detected through sensors and it was dependent on the entering time of vehicle to a street. Rest of the route should be determined in each intersection based on the travel time of the next streets on the path. After that in 2015, Wang and et al. proposed a hybrid intelligent transportation system which was using vehicular ad-hoc networks (VANET) and cellphone systems. Purpose of this system was the connection between vehicles, side road units and traffic management servers. A real time route finding algorithm was used to minimize the cost of movement in transportation networks by avoiding congested areas [Wang, et al. 2015]. Ueda and et al. also proposed a proactive and real time navigation system in crowded environments. Purpose was navigating all users by predicting their direction and avoid creating congested streets. In this system, bad
### Table 1. Literature review summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Approach</th>
<th>Route Finder</th>
<th>Multi-objective</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[Fu, 2001]</td>
<td>Travel time in each street is modeled and predicted as random variable</td>
<td>Label Correction Algorithms</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[GAO and CHABINI, 2006]</td>
<td>Specifies the next node to take at each decision node based on realized link travel times and the current time</td>
<td>DOT-SPI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Ding, et al., 2010]</td>
<td>Using the communication services between vehicles to navigate users</td>
<td>V2R2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Zhang, et al., 2006]</td>
<td>Use GPS of taxies to extract traffic information</td>
<td>ANNC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Nadi and Delavar, 2010]</td>
<td>Provide him/her with the next best link and defer other best links, towards the destination, until arrival to that link</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Wang, et al., 2015]</td>
<td>Using VANET to extract traffic information</td>
<td>Lyapunov optimization process</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Ueda, et al., 2015]</td>
<td>Detect future congestions and avoid them by “what if” simulation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Lin, et al., 2017]</td>
<td>Predict traffic condition on the navigation route and change if necessary</td>
<td>Floyd route finder</td>
<td>√</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Traffic conditions were predicted with a statistical spatial-temporal method and optimal route was computed based on “what happens if?” [Ueda, et al., 2015]. Eydi and et al. proposed a new hybrid algorithm by combining Ant Colony and Reinforcement Learning. This algorithm is designed to solve dynamic navigation in urban transportation networks by considering four objectives to solve navigation problem [Eydi et al. 2017]. Eydi et al. combined four objectives to solve multi-objective dynamic route guidance. Lin and et al. also proposed a Dynamic En-route Decision real time Route Guidance (DEDR) schema to reduce traffic congestions. DEDR predicted traffic condition based on shared traffic information and used alternative optimal routes to change user’s direction in case of congestion. Travel time, fuel consumption and vehicle density are the objectives considered in this schema [Lin et al. 2017]. This paper also combined the effective objectives and weighted them in a total fitness function. A summary of the literature review is reported in Table 1.

The purpose of this paper is proposing an ACO based method to solve dynamic route finding and navigation in Urban transportation network. Environmental information is assumed to be known, so it is categorized in OAR. ACO has been applied in this method to obtain the main and possible alternative paths. It has been chosen because of its better performance compare to Genetic Algorithm in solving route finding problem [Deneubourg, et al., 1990]. The main novelty of this paper is in considering the dynamism of the transportation network as well as multi objectivity of navigation problem simultaneously without combining the objective functions. In this way, user has the ability to see routes and select one without any need to weight them before computing the optimal routes. The proposed method has
two main phases; in the first phase, origin and destination are entered by user, as well as the desired objectives. Then ACO is used to find the main best path. After that alternative paths are generated to be used in case of congestion or heavy traffic. Rate of decision making is increased by calculating the alternative paths in the pre-processing phase and using them in the navigation phase. Increasing the speed of decision making can give us the ability of using high rate information from infrastructure sensors e.g. Ubiquitous Sensor Networks. In the navigation phase user starts to move toward his/her destination. Location of the user is derived and algorithm monitors the path ahead. If any congestion happens, main path is replaced by the possible alternatives form the pre-processing phase in order to pass the user from better streets. To the best of our knowledge, a simultaneous solution for using pre-processing in dynamic navigation and considering dynamism and multi objectivity of navigation hasn’t been yet addressed in the transportation literature.

2. Ant Algorithms

Ant algorithms are meta heuristic methods to solve complex problems which was first proposed by Marco Dorigo in 1992 [Dorigo, 1992]. These algorithms are actually simulating the behavior of ants in nature. Searching food by ants is usually simulated to find the best solutions in complex problems. In nature, each ant searches the environment individually to find a food source and they release a chemical while traversing. When another ant wants to start searching the space, choosing a path with higher chemical is more probable. Paths with higher amount of chemical are traversed by more ants and therefore they are closer to the optimal solution. Ants may rise this question that how they find food sources? The answer is a chemical substance called pheromone. Pheromone is a chemical that ants release while traversing. First generation ants search the space completely randomly and they release pheromone while traversing. This chemical footprint guides other ants to find the food source by smelling. Pheromone evaporates after a while to let other ants search for better paths and also other food source. This mechanism is the basis of ACO [Dorigo et al. 1999].

ACO has some properties that will be discussed in the following. The most important property of this algorithms is solving the route finding problem implicitly. Whether in real world ants or in artificial ants, shortest path gets higher pheromones because it gets to the destination sooner and more ants traversed it [Di Caro, 2004]. On the other hand, each ant finds its path from colony to the food source independently. Also the process of searching is being conducted simultaneously by number of ants [Dorigo and Birattari, 2010]. One of the differences between real world ants and artificial ones is the type of problem they are dealing with. Ant Colony Optimization is faced with discrete world [Dorigo et al. 1999]. This discrete world is usually modeled as a graph [LaValle, 2006]. In nature pheromones evaporate when they are exposed to the air, at a constant rate. Evaporation helps ants to find other places in their search for food sources [Deneubourg et al. 1990]. This mechanism is used in ACO for better search of the space, but evaporation rate will vary from problem to problem.
2.1 Ant Colony Optimization (ACO)

After modeling the problem in graph theory with a set of nodes and edges, the process of ACO is conducted as follow (Figure 2):

1. **Setting parameters and initial pheromone values.** In order to give each street an initial pheromone value, physical length, random values or a specific formula can be used. All the initial pheromones value is set to 1 in this research. \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\) in Equation 1, determine the effect of pheromone and the cost in generating the ants, respectively [Jabbarpour, et al., 2014]. Number of ants can be determined by trial and error. Different runs of algorithm lead us to the correct number. Evaporation rate must be determined too.

2. **Generating solutions.** Each ant forms a solution for the problem. For example, in route finding each ant is a sequence of streets leading us from the origin to the destination. Probability of passing k-th ant from i node to j node is calculated by Equation 1. \(\tau_{ij}\) is the set of visited nodes by k-th ant. \(\tau_{ij}\) is the amount of pheromone on the specific edge between node i and j. \(\alpha\) determines the influence of pheromones on selecting the next node. \(\eta_{ij}\) is the desirability of choosing the specific edge between i and j. Typically it is equal to the reverse of the edge’s cost. \(\beta\) controls the influence of \(\eta_{ij}\).

\[
P^j_k(t) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{(\tau_{ij})^\alpha(\eta_{ij})^\beta}{\sum_{k \in tabu_k} (\tau_{ij})^\alpha(\eta_{ij})^\beta} & \text{if } j \notin \text{tabu}_k \\
0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} 
\]  

(1)

3. **Updating pheromones.** Ants start form the origin and for passing from a node to another one, the probability is calculated from Equation 1. When ant gets to the destination, two tasks must be conducted. First of all, all the streets pheromones must be decreased. This is the mechanism of evaporation inspired from nature. Second task is increasing the pheromones of traversed path for each ant due to its fitness. These tasks together are applied through Equation 2.

\[
\tau_{ij}^{new} = (1 - \rho)\tau_{ij}^{old} + \sum_{k=1}^{m} \Delta \tau_{ij}^k 
\]  

(2)

\(\rho \in [0, 1]\) is a constant value called evaporation rate and \(m\) is the number of street traversed by the specific ant. Increasing value of pheromone amount on each link, traversed by k-th ant \((\tau_{ij}^k)\), is calculated through Equation 3. In this Equation, \(Q\) is a constant value and \(f_k\) is the fitness value of the traversed path by k-th ant [Dorigo, 1992].

\[
\Delta \tau_{ij}^k = \frac{Q}{f_k} \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } k\text{th ant traversed link (i,j)} \\
0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} 
\]  

(3)

It should be noted that if pheromone evaporation rate is low, ants get into local optimum solutions. If there it is high, ants do not use gathered information by other ants. So, evaporation rate has a direct effect on finding new solutions and improving old ones [Claes and Tom , 2011].
4. **Stopping Criteria.** ACO stops whenever a stopping criteria is reached. Specific number of iterations, specific computation time or stable pheromones after a specific number of iterations are examples of stop criteria [Jabbarpour, et al., 2014]. Stopping criteria of the proposed technique in this paper is a specific number of iterations.

It should be noted that in this paper, cost of scenery is reversed in order to maximize it through optimization. When reversed function is minimized, it could be claimed that the original function is maximized.

### 2.2 Multi-Objective Ant Colony Optimization

A Multi-Objective Problem (MOP) is defined as follow (Equation 4):

\[
\text{Minimize } F(x) = (f_1(x), f_2(x), \ldots, f_M(x))
\]  

\[ (4) \]

F(x) is the objective functions vector and it should be minimized. \(x = [x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n] \) is the decision variables. Usually there is not a particular solution to minimize all the objective functions, So, Pareto Optimal concept is used to investigate the multi-objective optimization problems. Pareto optimality is defined through follow definitions.

**Definition 1.** \( u = (u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n) \) dominants \( w = (w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_n) \) when \( u_k \leq w_k, k = 1, 2, \ldots, n \).

\( u \) and \( w \) are two different decision vectors.

**Definition 2.** Decision vector \( x^* \in \Omega \) is a Pareto optimal if there is no decision vector \( x \in \Omega \) that \( F(x) < F(x^*) \).

\( \Omega \) represents the feasible decision space.

**Definition 3.** Pareto optimal set is defined as follows:

\[
P^* = \{ x \in \Omega | \not\exists \hat{x} \in \Omega : F(\hat{x}) < F(x) \}
\]  

\[ (5) \]

**Definition 4.** Pareto front is defined as follow:

\[
P_f = \{ F(x) \in \zeta | x \in P^* \}
\]  

\[ (6) \]

\( \zeta \) represents the feasible objective space.

Multi-objective ACO is categorized into two classes, multi colony and multi pheromone. In multi pheromone algorithms, each ant has the ability to release different type pheromones, but in multi colony algorithms each ant releases only one type pheromone but for each objective there is a colony and each colony has its own ants. After each traverse by ants in each colony, all ants (solutions) are considered for ranking and sorting based on Pareto optimal concept. First front solutions are derived and used for updating pheromones [lopez-ibanez, 2004].
Multi colony ACO has been used in the proposed method of this paper.

In [Jozefowiez et al. 2008], facing with multi-objective problems is categorized into three classes. Multi-objective optimization can be done without weighting, weighting before solving the problem and weighting after solving the problem. In the first class, an ideal solution is defined and algorithm selects solutions close to the ideal solution. In the second class, weights of each objective function is determined by specialists before the algorithm starts the computation. Objectives are combined together based on these weights. In these two classes, there is no room for user's preferences [Coello Coello et al. 2002]. In the third and last class, after choosing the effective objectives for route finding by users, no initial weight is introduced and all the Pareto front solutions are given to the user to choose between them [Zitzler and Thiele, 1998]. The proposed method in this paper is based on the third class.

3. The Proposed Technique

In this section, the proposed method based on ACO is discussed. Multi-objective ACO is used in this technique to find the optimal path between origin and destination. Origin and destination are entered by user as well as effective objectives for route finding among distance, travel time, scenery and difficulty. Multi-objective route finding is conducted using Multi Colony ACO and Pareto optimal front is given to the user to choose a route among them. 20 ants are generated for each colony and it takes 50 iterations to stop. $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are 1 and 2, respectively (Equation 1), evaporation rate ($\rho$) is 0.075 (Equation 2) and $Q$ is 1 (Equation 3). These parameters are obtained through trial and error. Pre-processing starts after user chooses the route. In the pre-processing phase alternative paths are generated each time by assuming that one street is obstructed or congested. Congestion or obstruction is detected through travel time property of streets. Alternative paths are

![Figure 3. The proposed method flowchart](image-url)
generated using ACO with the selected objectives. Next phase is tracking and navigating the user through the network. In real world, user’s location can be extracted using vehicle or cellphone GPS sensor. In this phase, remaining edges ahead are observed after each update of the network traffic information. If any congestion happens, this method uses the alternative paths to alter user’s path and let the user get to the destination sooner. Flowchart of the proposed method can be seen in Figure 3. Pseudo code, is presented in Figure 4.

4. Results and Discussion

To check the accuracy of the proposed technique, Dijkstra algorithm is used as a certain algorithm to find the exact solutions in single-objective mode. A network of 16 nodes generated randomly and random variables for 4 different objectives are generated for each edge. Structure of the simulated network can be seen in Figure 5. Numerical results are reported after a short explanation about Dijkstra algorithm.
4.1 Experimental Results

First of all, accuracy of the proposed method is compared to Dijkstra algorithm in finding the optimal main route with considering different objectives. In Table 2, two random nodes are selected from the network and the main route is calculated by the proposed method and Dijkstra algorithm. Dijkstra acts as a single-objective algorithm, so each objective is experimented separately.

As it can be seen in Table 2, route finding from node 0 to 12 for different objectives are highly accurate. Calculated routes by the proposed method and Dijkstra algorithm are equal. Results are remaining unchanged after different times running the algorithm. We can increase number of tests, if we want to relay more on the stability of the algorithm.

Effects of using a single-objective static navigation (by Dijkstra algorithm) and the proposed method is tested in Table 3. Two cars are simulated to move between the selected random nodes in Table 3. One car uses static navigation (Dijkstra algorithm) and the other one uses the proposed method. In all the tests, distance is selected as the effective objective. Other objectives can be used instead. Traversed path is the path of the cars, after all the changes. Traffic information was updated for each 5 seconds, so the arrival time is reported based on the 5 seconds intervals. When a car arrival time is 55, it means that it arrived between 50 and 55 seconds after departing from the origin.

As it can be seen in Table 3, arrival time of the car using the proposed method is better than the car using static navigation. When the cars were moving from 0 to 12, 1 to 14 and 11 to 7, there were no change in the main path. This may have different reasons. First reason can be the travel time of the initial path. If there was no congestion or heavy traffic condition, there was no need for change in the main path. Second reason can be the condition of the alternative paths. Based on the flowchart in Figure 3 or the pseudo code of Figure 4, if the possible alternative path condition wasn’t better, no change in the main path was applied.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>From</th>
<th>To</th>
<th>Objectives</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Main path</th>
<th>Objective: Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Distance</td>
<td>Dijkstra</td>
<td>[0, 7, 6, 9, 10, 11, 12]</td>
<td>833.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Proposed Technique</td>
<td>[0, 7, 6, 9, 10, 11, 12]</td>
<td>833.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Dijkstra</td>
<td>[0, 7, 6, 9, 10, 11, 12]</td>
<td>31.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Proposed Technique</td>
<td>[0, 7, 6, 9, 10, 11, 12]</td>
<td>31.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Difficulty</td>
<td>Dijkstra</td>
<td>[0, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12]</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Proposed Technique</td>
<td>[0, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12]</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Scenery</td>
<td>Dijkstra</td>
<td>[0, 7, 6, 9, 10, 13, 12]</td>
<td>1.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Proposed Technique</td>
<td>[0, 7, 6, 9, 10, 13, 12]</td>
<td>1.87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Comparing the arrival time of single-objective static navigation and the proposed method

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>From</th>
<th>To</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Traversed Path</th>
<th>Arrival time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Dijkstra</td>
<td>Distance</td>
<td>[0, 7, 6, 9, 10, 11, 12]</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Proposed method</td>
<td>Distance</td>
<td>[0, 7, 6, 9, 10, 11, 12]</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Dijkstra</td>
<td>Distance</td>
<td>[15, 8, 9, 6, 5, 2]</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Proposed method</td>
<td>Distance</td>
<td>[15, 8, 9, 10, 5, 2]</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Dijkstra</td>
<td>Distance</td>
<td>[1, 6, 9, 14]</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Proposed method</td>
<td>Distance</td>
<td>[1, 6, 9, 14]</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Dijkstra</td>
<td>Distance</td>
<td>[11, 10, 9, 6, 7]</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Proposed method</td>
<td>Distance</td>
<td>[11, 10, 9, 6, 7]</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Dijkstra</td>
<td>Distance</td>
<td>[3, 2, 5, 6, 9, 8]</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Proposed method</td>
<td>Distance</td>
<td>[3, 2, 5, 10, 9, 8]</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When cars were moving from 15 to 2, a congestion happened between 9 and 6, and main path was replaced with the alternative path by the proposed technique. This change caused lower travel time. The same happened when cars were moving from 3 to 8. In the main path, a significant congestion happened between 5 and 6. The proposed technique replaced that part of the path, when user was moving through, with the alternative path. In this particular experiment, travel time was reduced up to 50%.

In Table 4, the accuracy of the proposed method is assessed in multi-objective mode. In this table different multi-objective route findings are tested between node 0 and 12. These nodes are selected specifically to compare the results with Table 1. As it can be seen in Table 4, when route finding is initiated with distance and travel time as the effective objectives, Pareto optimal front contains only one solution. This solution minimizes both objective functions. A quick look into Table 2, show that both of the objectives are passing through [0, 7, 6, 9, 10, 11, 12]. It means that this solution is the global optimum and it dominants all other solutions. In all repetitions of the algorithm, same solution is obtained. When difficulty is added to the effective objectives, two solutions are obtained from the Pareto optimal front. Closer look reveals that first solution is the minimization of difficulty objective and the second one is minimizing distanced and travel time. Costs and paths match Table 2 results. When we are considering all four objectives, 4 solutions are obtained. First solution represents the solution that minimizes the reves of scenery function. Second one is a solution with higher scenery value and lower difficulty value. Third solution minimizes difficulty objective and the last solution minimizes distance and travel time simultaneously. The second solution is a non-dominant solution and it comes between difficulty and scenery objectives. It means that it is a good solution if user wants to take a path with low difficulty and lots of scenery. Based on the experiments reported in Table 3, ACO acts accurately in multi-objective mode as well as single-objective mode.

In order to test the proposed method, one car is simulated to follow the path generated from proposed them. While car hasn’t reached the destination, the process of decision making...
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Table 4. Evaluating the results of the proposed technique in multi-objective mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>From</th>
<th>To</th>
<th>Objectives</th>
<th>Pareto Optimal Solutions</th>
<th>Cost Value</th>
<th>Distance</th>
<th>Travel Time</th>
<th>Difficulty</th>
<th>Scenery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Distance</td>
<td>route 1: [0, 7, 6, 9, 10, 11, 12]</td>
<td>833.08</td>
<td>31.9556</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Travel Time</td>
<td>route 1: [0, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12]</td>
<td>877.87</td>
<td>32.8518</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Difficulty</td>
<td>route 2: [0, 7, 6, 9, 10, 11, 12]</td>
<td>833.08</td>
<td>31.9559</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Distance</td>
<td>route 1: [0, 7, 6, 9, 10, 13, 12]</td>
<td>839.69</td>
<td>32.08819</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.8726</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Travel Time</td>
<td>route 2: [0, 7, 8, 9, 10, 13, 12]</td>
<td>884.48</td>
<td>32.9839</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>2.6584</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Difficulty</td>
<td>route 3: [0, 7, 6, 9, 10, 11, 12]</td>
<td>877.87</td>
<td>32.8518</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>3.4391</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Scenery</td>
<td>route 4: [0, 7, 6, 9, 10, 11, 12]</td>
<td>833.08</td>
<td>31.9559</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>2.6533</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The performance of the proposed method was assessed through testing 50 pairs from 240 possible pairs in the simulated network. Performance was tested by navigating with a static navigation using multi-objective ACO and comparing the results with navigation using the proposed method. One car simulated to move on the static route computed by multi-objective ACO algorithm and another one simulated to use the proposed method. Trip durations were extracted and then subtracted from each other. Results are reported in Figure 7. Positive values, above the horizontal axis indicate that the proposed method suggested better solutions and could guide the driver to move from uncongested areas. Negative values, below the horizontal axis indicate that the proposed method was not able to provide better solutions.

Figure 7. Trip duration difference between static navigation (ACO) and the proposed method
values indicate that the proposed method didn’t worked properly and driver experienced heavy traffic condition or congestions. It should be noted that traffic and GPS information are extracted every 5 seconds from the simulated network, so there is no information between time intervals. Arrival time of the vehicle at the destination is not exact and only the time step is reported. As it can be seen in Figure 7, the proposed method values are often better than the static navigation by ACO and this means that it works properly. 50 origin-destination pairs tested, in 10 pairs the proposed method altered the main route and in 8 of them, the proposed method worked better than the static navigation. Rapid changes in the network environment may cause heavy traffic condition and congestion in the suggested routes by the proposed method after changing the user’s route. These cases lead to bad results when using the proposed method, because no alternative route computed for the new route and user have to continue driving on the suggested route.

4.2 Managerial Insight

Increasing the capacity of the current roads and streets in order to deal with heavy traffic condition, is a very difficult, expensive and sometimes impossible task. One approach is to use the available capacity efficiently by improving the classic tools and develop new ones. Artificial and meta heuristic algorithms have been used in many areas of transportation systems to find the optimal solutions while considering different complex and sometimes incompatible objectives. Dynamic route finding and navigation systems are using the real time information and they are very important in transportation systems. They can reduce the concentration of traffic on a particular area or inversely increase the concentration. In this paper, a novel multi-objective dynamic navigation method is proposed based on artificial intelligent algorithms to reduce the concentration of traffic by avoiding drivers from moving through congested areas. Also, this innovative method is increasing the rate of decision making significantly which is very vital for intelligent systems.

5. Conclusions and Future Research Direction

Route finding and Navigation in dynamic transportation networks have been increasingly studied due to the advances in traffic data collection systems. With the rise of population, incidents and congestions rate have raised. As a result, citizens are dealing with a dynamic transportation network in their residential area. Besides, they usually have different objectives in mind when they want to move to the city. This paper attempted to propose a novel method in order to solve multi-objective route finding and navigation in a dynamic transportation network based on a meta heuristic algorithm named Ant Colony Optimization. The proposed method consists of two phases. In the first phase, a multi-objective route finding is applied based on the user’s preferred objectives. Multi colony ACO is used to solve the multi-objective route finding. First Pareto front solutions are presented to the users and so that they can select one of the routes according to their requirements. After selecting the desired route, a pre-processing section is applied to generate possible alternative routes with multi colony ACO and the user’s desired objectives. In the second phase, the user is being tracked while moving from the origin.
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toward the destination. If any congestion or heavy traffic condition happens on the selected route, the proposed technique replaces that section with the calculated alternative from the previous phase. Based on the results, there will be three possible states. Traffic condition of the possible alternative route might be worse than the current route. In that case, user’s main route won’t be altered. If the traffic condition of the possible alternative route is better than the current route, it will be replaced. On the other hand, after a single change in the selected route, an alternative route might not be available for the changed route. Therefore, users have to go through the congested area. This scenario happens when traffic condition is changing rapidly which is the worst case scenario.

In the numerical results, the accuracy of the proposed method is evaluated by comparing it to an exact algorithm in single-objective mode. High accuracy is observed through experiments. To evaluate multi-objective mode, the proposed method is tested with different combinations of objectives and results are compared to Dijkstra exact solutions. Results indicated high accuracy of the proposed method. In order to evaluate the performance during navigation, two cars are simulated to move between two random nodes in the simulated transportation network. One of them uses static navigation and the other one uses the proposed method. Excellent performance of the proposed technique is observed. Up to 50% improvement reported in single-objective mode. Also, by testing 20% of all the possible pairs of origin-destination pairs, 80% of the altered routes are improved. It can be concluded that users need dynamic navigation and route finding in crowded transportation networks. The added preprocessing phase increases the rate of decision making significantly which is essential in intelligent and ubiquitous system. Traffic information is assumed to be known in this paper, but in real world, it should be extracted through infrastructure sensors or through vehicle to vehicle communication systems. Also, it should be noted that these conclusions are based on a simulated network without considering the spatial correlation among link travel time distributions. This might be seen as the limitation of this study. Consequently, it must be tested in real network data before drawing any final conclusions.
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